

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/022,010

Applicant(s)

DERNER ET AL.

Examiner

Joseph D. Torres

Art Unit

2133

All Participants:

(1) Joseph D. Torres.

(2) Daniel Polglaze.

Status of Application: New Case

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 April 2004

Time: 3:00pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-26

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Attorney informed the Examiner that the Applicant had intended claim 11 to depend from claim 10. During a telephone conversation with Daniel Polglaze on 08 April 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group III, claims 9-14 and 24-26. .